Computational Biomechanical Analysis of Asymmetric Ectasia Risk in Unilateral Post-LASIK Ectasia
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Purpose: To develop a computational approach to corneal biomechanical risk analysis in refractive surgery and to investigate its utility in an enigmatic case of unilateral ectasia after bilateral LASIK.

Methods: Preoperative corneal elevation datasets from both eyes of a patient who developed unilateral post-LASIK ectasia were used to construct geometrically patient-specific, microstructurally motivated finite element models. Models were assessed before and after implementation of case-specific treatment parameters for interocular differences in corneal geometry and strain behavior under physiological loading conditions.

Results: Standard clinical predictors of post-LASIK ectasia risk were similar for the affected and contralateral eyes, and no risk factor asymmetry was identified in tomographic screening that included posterior corneal elevation analysis. However, differences in the magnitude and distribution of strain and stress were observed that are consistent with greater predisposition to biomechanical instability in the affected eye. Load testing with simulated intraocular pressure increases provoked opposite trends in curvature change in the preoperative models representing affected and unaffected eyes, with steepening in the ectatic eye and flattening in the clinically stable eye.

Conclusions: Patient-specific computational analyses revealed differences in intrinsic biomechanical behaviors that may predispose a cornea to instability after refractive surgery. Strain and stress analyses elucidated differential risk not ascertained with current refractive surgery screening paradigms. This pilot study illustrates a risk analysis approach that implicitly considers the entire corneal three-dimensional geometry and can be performed a priori in a screening setting.
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Abstract

Postoperative corneal ectasia is a rare complication of keratorefractive surgery characterized by progressive localized steepening, increasing refractive error with irregular astigmatism, and potential loss of corrected distance visual acuity. Detecting risk propensity remains one of the most challenging issues in the evaluation of potential refractive surgery candidates, in part due to the complex interaction of intrinsic (patient) and extrinsic (surgical) risk factors.

Several studies have reported potential risk factors for occurrence of postoperative corneal ectasia, including the statistically based Ectasia Risk Score System (ERSS). This screening paradigm has proven useful by supporting a semi-quantitative approach to screening that integrates multiple patient and surgical risk factors in a straightforward grading scheme; however, limitations include the need for subjective grading of a major risk driver (corneal topography) and the use of one-dimensional structural risk factors related to central corneal thickness that do not capture the three-dimensional interaction of spherical and astigmatic ablation profiles with corneal structure. The percent tissue altered provides a thickness-
specific assessment of the extrinsic factors by relating surgical invasiveness to preoperative central corneal thickness but does not address corneal curvature factors.\textsuperscript{6,12} Many additional screening approaches have been described using patient-specific tomographic data, including posterior elevation and relational thickness analyses from Scheimpflug tomography.\textsuperscript{13,14} but a unified, universal scheme for risk screening that includes comprehensive tomographic and procedure-specific data has yet to be developed and validated.

Advances in computational medicine offer unprecedented opportunities for assessing the structural impact of the three-dimensional interaction of planned treatments with corneal structure.\textsuperscript{8,10} This report describes a computational method for analysis of corneal structural risk and pilots the approach in the enigmatic setting of unilateral post-LASIK ectasia. In addition to assessing the utility of this computational approach as a tool for preoperative clinical screening, we sought to generate the first structural analysis of a clinical case of ectasia using preoperative patient-specific corneal geometry data alone and in combination with simulation of case-specific LASIK procedures.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**CLINICAL CASE**

A 30-year-old man who underwent uneventful bilateral LASIK in 2009 achieved 20/20 uncorrected distance visual acuity initially but presented with decreased acuity and tomographic evidence of unilateral ectasia in the right eye 18 months postoperatively. The LASIK procedure was performed using the Alcon WaveLight Allegretto 400-Hz excimer laser (Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX) and the Amadeus II Microkeratome (Ziemer Ophthalmic, Port, Switzerland) using ML7090 CLB blades distributed by Med-Logics, Inc. Thickness profiles of flaps produced with this device combination have been previously characterized\textsuperscript{15} and were used in the simulations. Preoperative and postoperative maps from Scheimpflug-based tomography (Pentacam; Ocules Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) were exported for use in the computational analysis, as described below. The manufacturer’s quality scores for each Pentacam scan were normal and no central data gaps were present. The patient developed postoperative ectasia in his right eye only. He underwent corneal cross-linking in the right eye approximately 20 months after LASIK at a different facility. The left eye remained stable through 3 years of postoperative follow-up.

**PREOPERATIVE FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS SIMULATIONS**

A schematic flowchart of the steps involved in generation of the patient-specific computational models is presented in Figure 1. Tomographic exports and clinical historical data were obtained via retrospective medical chart review, and institutional review board approval was obtained. The research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Preoperative tomography elevation data from the ectatic and unaffected contralateral eyes of the patient were imported into custom finite element meshing software (SpecifEye v0.168; OptoQuest, Cleveland OH). The patient-specific corneal geometry plus a generic limbus and anterior scleral geometry were used to generate each finite element mesh. No difficulties were encountered in interpolating between the available Scheimpflug corneal elevation data and the extracorneal components of the model. Eighth-order Zernike polynomial fits were used to represent the anterior and posterior surfaces of the cornea after mesh generation, and simulated keratometry values were calculated using Vol-CT software (version 7.30; Sarver & Associates, Inc., Carbondale, IL).

To simulate the strain distributions in the preoperative state of the eyes, the discretized geometry including cornea, limbus, and anterior half of the sclera was subjected to an intraocular pressure (IOP) of 15 mm Hg, approximating clinical post-LASIK tonometry values of 14 mm Hg in both eyes at 18 months postoperatively. An iterative procedure\textsuperscript{16} was implemented in custom Python code and was used to find the stress-free geometry of the cornea. The model was then subjected to IOP loading to produce the strain distributions of the preoperative state. For simulation of the preoperative state, epithelial and stroma layers were defined separately using different material properties with patient-specific optical coherence tomography-derived total corneal thickness profiles and an assumption of uniform 50-μm epithelial thickness. The epithelium was modeled as hyperelastic incompressible neo-Hookean material using $W = c (I_1 – 3)$, where $I_1$ is the first deviatoric invariant of the left Cauchy-Green deformation tensor and $W$ is the strain energy density function. The value of $c$ was set to 0.002 megapascals (MPa), 5% of the corresponding value for the stroma (see below), to reflect the negligible contribution of the epithelium to the mechanical behavior of the cornea.

The material model used for simulation of the corneal stroma was a microstructurally based fiber-reinforced model proposed by Freed et al.\textsuperscript{17,18} with an isotropic neo-Hookean solid matrix. This model takes into account the crimping behavior of collagen fibrils by representing each fiber as a three-dimensional spring. The collagen fibril distribution in the cornea is highly anisotropic and heterogeneous.\textsuperscript{19,20} Pinsky et al. proposed an analytical function that captures the main features of the anisotropic collagen fiber distribu-
Angular integration of this analytical function was used at each integration point within the model to simulate the gradual change in collagen fiber orientation from the corneal center to its periphery.

The material model equations are summarized here. The strain energy density function in the stroma is defined as:

\[ W_{\text{stroma}} = W_{\text{matrix}} + \frac{1}{J_3} \int_0^1 \omega(r, \theta, \varphi) W_{\text{collagen}} \, d\alpha \]

where \( r, \theta, \) and \( \varphi \) define the location and orientation of the fibers in the polar coordinate system within the stroma, \( \alpha \) represents the unit sphere, \( W \) represents the strain density function, and \( \omega \) is the fiber distribution function.\(^{21}\) The stromal matrix is modeled as a neo-Hookean material with the material constant \( c \) set to 0.04 MPa.

Cauchy stress of each collagen fiber is given by:

\[ \sigma_{\text{collagen}} = \frac{1}{J_3 \lambda} \frac{\partial W_{\text{collagen}}}{\partial \lambda} b(r, \theta, \varphi) \otimes b(r, \theta, \varphi) \]

where \( J_3 \) is the third deviatoric Cauchy strain invariant, \( b \) is fiber orientation vector, and \( \lambda \) is fiber stretch. Freed et al. defined the collagen fiber stress based on a three-dimensional crimped helical fiber assumption, and the equations defining the three-dimensional crimped helical fiber stress can be found in Appendix 1 of that publication.\(^{18}\) The three parameters of the helical col-

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic flowchart of the steps used in patient-specific computational modeling of corneal refractive surgery ectasia cases. IOP = intraocular pressure; FE = finite element; FEA = finite element analysis; VOL-CT = VOL-CT software (Sarver & Associates, Inc., Carbondale, IL)
lagen fiber are the initial normalized wavelength of the crimp (set to 30.5), the initial normalized amplitude of the crimp (set to 1.51), and the elastic modulus of the collagen fiber in the linear region, which was set to 32 MPa based on the inverse analysis.

The material model was implemented in an Abaqus UMAT subroutine (Dassault Systems, Johnston, RI). To derive nominal human stromal material constants, the parameters for the model were obtained by performing inverse analyses on previously published human corneal experimental inflation and tensile test data.23,24 Material properties were iterated using an optimization routine in inflational and tensile simulations until the respective experimental data were replicated. A smooth transition of material properties between cornea, limbus, and sclera was modeled in the study to avoid non-physiologic or abrupt changes in strain distributions. The shear modulus of the cornea was also gradually decreased with increasing depth in accordance with experimental findings25,26 to more realistically represent the contributions of depth-variant procedures such as LASIK.

**LASIK Finite Element Analysis Simulations**

Epithelium, flap, wound, and residual stromal bed layers were each defined in the LASIK models as described previously26 with case-specific parameters as described in Table 1. The wound layer was modeled as a 10-µm zone at the posterior flap interface and along the lateral circumferential edge of the flap to account for post-healing stromal modifications.27 The wound assumed complete severing of the collagen fibers for both wound components and a 90% reduction in the shear modulus of the stromal matrix26 at the deep interface and a 50% reduction in shear modulus for the lateral circumferential edge. A wavefront-optimized ablation formula and transition zone were each implemented for each simulation according to the clinically programmed sphero-cylindrical refractive treatment. Strain distributions were obtained using the previously described iterative method. In addition to actual preoperative and simulated postoperative simulations, additional simulations were performed at IOP loading equivalents of 10 and 20 mm Hg to assess differential responses to increased IOP by analyzing the tangential curvature. Interocular differences in the distribution and magnitude of maximum principal strain were assessed, a structural risk variable that characterizes the maximum amount of tensile strain at that material point. Maximum shear strain and von Mises stress were also mapped and compared for each eye.

**RESULTS**

Preoperative clinical data are presented in Table 1. Placido-based topography demonstrated mild asymmetric bowtie patterns (Figure A, available in the online version of this article) that were similar for both eyes and incorporated into the ERSS assessment. ERSS scores, percent tissue altered, and surgical parameters including maximum ablation depth, residual stromal bed, and flap thickness are also reported in Table 1. Preoperative and postoperative tomography maps are shown in Figure 2. The fidelity of the preoperative model was confirmed by comparison of clinical and finite element-derived preop-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Right Eye (Ectasia)</th>
<th>Left Eye (No Ectasia)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERSS age category</td>
<td>≥ 30 years (0)</td>
<td>≥ 30 years (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial topography pattern (score)</td>
<td>Asymmetric bowtie (1)</td>
<td>Asymmetric bowtie (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative MRx (score)</td>
<td>-6.75 +2.00 × 084 (0)</td>
<td>-6.25 +2.00 × 065 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT (µm) (score)</td>
<td>495 (2)</td>
<td>508 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSB thickness (µm) (score)</td>
<td>290 (1)</td>
<td>299 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ERSS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum ablation depth (µm)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flap thickness (µm)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belin-Ambrósio D score*</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERSS= Ectasia Risk Score System; PTA = percent tissue altered; MRx = manifest refraction; CCT = central corneal thickness; RSB = residual stromal bed; IOP = intraocular pressure

*Both values for D score were subthreshold for indicating risk according to the device user instructions.*
erative simulated keratometry values (Table A, available in the online version of this article). Maximum principal strain and maximum shear strain values (dimensionless) at the anterior and posterior surfaces of the residual stromal bed were extracted from the finite element analysis and compared (Figure 3). Strain values were higher in the ectatic right eye in both the preoperative and postoperative states compared to the non-ectatic left eye, with highly asymmetric distributions. Similar patterns were seen in the preoperative and postoperative von Mises stress values (Figure 4). Comparison of actual postoperative simulated keratometry values (37.52/38.40 diopters...
In provocative IOP elevation simulations, the affected eye showed a region of maximal tangential curvature at an IOP of 20 mm Hg in the preoperative state that coincided with the location of ectasia development (Figure 5). The affected eye also showed an IOP-dependent increase in maximum tangential curvature in the preoperative state, whereas the eye that did not develop postoperative ectasia, which was actually steeper at baseline than the eye that eventually developed ectasia, showed a reduction in curvature (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we present the first clinical application of structural simulation for the analysis of a case of ectasia after LASIK. This computational method combines a geometrically subject-specific model of the cornea derived from clinical tomography, physiologic intraocular loading forces, a fiber-reinforced material formulation that accounts for the three-dimensional spatial orientation of corneal collagen and case-specific LASIK treatment parameters that are applied to the preoperative model to simulate the response to surgery. By offering a comprehensive structural solution of corneal geometry and deformation behavior both before and after LASIK, this approach provided some initial insight into case-specific structural factors in the development of post-LASIK ectasia while illustrating a risk analysis paradigm that implicitly considers the entire corneal three-dimensional geometry and all its derivatives.

Multiple clinical risk screening paradigms in this case were applied preoperatively or retrospectively and...
failed to indicate a significant absolute risk of ectasia and/or asymmetry of risk. Comparisons of the contra-

lateral eyes using anterior and posterior elevation data and pachymetric distribution did not reveal an absolute
risk of ectasia in either eye based on previously established indicators. For example, the Belin-Ambrósio Enhanced Ectasia Display, a software feature available on the Pentacam, calculates the Belin-Ambrósio Deviation score (BAD score or D value). The D value was higher for the preoperative LASIK eye that eventually developed ectasia than it was for the contralateral eye. However, the highest D value for either eye was only 1.03 (Table 1), well below the stated threshold for clinical predisposition to ectasia. Similarly, both eyes demonstrated threshold-level values for ectasia risk by the percent tissue altered metric, but with only 5% difference in the values.

Simulations of both eyes in their pre-LASIK states revealed greater preoperative strain and stress magnitudes and more irregular distributions of those variables in the eye that went on to develop ectasia. Both eyes of the modeled patient had similar preoperative geometries and refractive corrections that made preoperative risk assessment more clinically ambiguous and allowed for a meaningful comparison of asymmetry in preoperative and postoperative structural outcomes. Another finding of the post-LASIK simulations was an increase in strain after surgery and a shift in the location of maximum strain from the posterior cornea to the surface of the residual stromal bed. This suggests that after LASIK, the anterior portion of the residual stroma is subjected to additional mechanical loading/deformation and may be more prone to failure if the load exceeds some currently unknown (and probably patient-specific) threshold. Stromal stress was also asymmetrically elevated in the preoperative and postoperative geometries of the eye that developed ectasia, and provides empirical support for the notion that stress may be a correlate of corneal structural risk.

Strain is an important factor in the tensional homeostasis that drives cellular responses, extracellular matrix maintenance, and remodeling in human connective tissues. Changes in matrix strain have been shown in serum-cultured corneal stromal keratocytes to activate mechanobiological pathways that alter tension in the matrix, and recent cyclic stretching experiments with corneal fibroblasts have shown that strain magnitude determines the balance of expression of matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases such that tissue degradation is favored with higher strains. It is in this sense that we believe strain is a potentially important predictor of the degradative pathways that promote ectasia.

The simulations also predicted directionally opposite changes in corneal curvature as a function of IOP elevation in the fellow eyes. The area of greatest load-dependent steepening in the preoperative simulation of the eye that developed ectasia emerged near the region where postoperative steepening ultimately manifested clinically. In contrast, the preoperative model of the eye that did not develop post-LASIK ectasia demonstrated mild flattening in response to IOP elevation (consistent with prior studies on IOP dependence in normal eyes). These observations provide early proof of concept for differentiation of case-specific risk and represent an important step toward establishing ranges for normal and abnormal/high-risk strains and stresses in larger, population-based finite element studies. It is important to note that these simulations do not include time-dependent material behaviors that are likely to be drivers of the longitudinal changes observed in postoperative ectasia cases. Rather, the results reflect differences in instantaneous load-dependent deformation behaviors that we speculate may be useful in predicting subsequent material failure, which may be mediated by a variety of time-dependent biological and biomechanical responses that have yet to be fully elucidated.

The approach to case-specific LASIK simulation in this study assumed treatment-specific ablation profiles and incorporated optical coherence tomography-derived flap thickness values that were obtained with the same microkeratome by the same surgeon. A parametric analysis exploring the sensitivity of the model predictions to model assumptions was outside the scope of a two-eye report but is planned for a larger cohort with a wider range of patient-specific tomographic inputs to inform the analysis. For the current study, we addressed the issue of model accuracy in the comparison of the post-LASIK simulation results to the actual keratometric outcome for the non-ectatic case. The simulations produced results that closely matched the keratometry values and astigmatic axis for the left eye. We were unable to validate the postoperative simulation keratometry values against the actual postoperative data for the affected eye due to unavailability of immediate postoperative scans. The earliest scan available postoperatively for the affected eye demonstrated ectasia at a late stage of progression. To further mitigate the impact of any discrepancies between actual and assumed values for surgical parameters such as ablation profile and flap dimensions, we analyzed risk separately for the preoperative and postoperative states, where the former is not subject to errors associated with surgical assumptions. Both approaches demonstrated asymmetric biomechanical risk favoring ectasia in the affected eye, and this approach allows for separate consideration of intrinsic and extrinsic risk of ectasia.

An obvious limitation of this study is that only one case of unilateral post-LASIK ectasia after bilateral LASIK with preoperative and postoperative tomogra-
phy was available for modeling. However, the investiga-
tive value of this particular clinical case is high
given the clinical comparability of the eyes and the
failure of some well-established screening paradigms
to predict the asymmetric risk of ectasia in the right
eye. Another limitation of this study is the absence of
patient-specific material properties in the simulations.
Although there are ongoing efforts to measure the me-
chanical properties of the cornea in vivo,18 techniques
capable of obtaining explicit elastic modulus values
are not yet clinically available. It is possible that the
cornea that developed keratectasia had underlying
material property abnormalities that contributed to the
unilateral development of ectasia. Due to the absence
of patient-specific corneal mechanical properties for
these eyes, we assumed that mechanical properties
were identical for each eye. The rationale for doing so
was to avoid biasing the simulations by assigning arbi-
trary interocular property differences and to better iso-
late the predictive performance of three-dimensional
structural analysis using only a readily available clin-
ical input. Patient-specific material property data could
be included in such simulations when available and
would likely add to their predictive value.

Through a high-fidelity finite element modeling ap-
proach using clinical tomography data, we were able to
observe differences in strain distributions both pre-
operatively and postoperatively that were predictive of
ectasia development even without explicitly ac-
counting for patient-specific material properties. This
analysis represents an important first step toward sim-
ulation-based risk assessment and leverages a rare re-
source: unilateral ectasia cases in which both preoper-
ative and postoperative tomography is available. These
simulations reveal intrinsic structural factors that may
predispose an eye to complications and can be per-
fomed to assess risk in an a priori fashion. Large-scale
computational analyses of ectasia risk factors informed
by this pilot study are under way.
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### TABLE A
Comparison of Preoperative Clinical Curvature Data and Finite Element Analysis-Generated Curvature Data for Model Verification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Preoperative Clinical Data SimK (D)</th>
<th>Preoperative Model SimK (D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>41.40/43.60 @ 69</td>
<td>41.35/43.60 @ 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>41.10/42.80 @ 90</td>
<td>41.09/42.78 @ 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SimK = simulated keratometry; D = diopters

Figure A. Preoperative Placido topography for the right and left eyes. SimK = simulated keratometry